. 11		1
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California LINDA L. SUN	Date 4/6/16 By Susan
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KATHERINE MESSANA	Date 4 6 16 By Swam
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 272953	' Sauler
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	, , 0
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2554 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8		RE THE F CONTROL BOARD
	DEPARTMENT OF C	CONSUMER AFFAIRS
9	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2016-48
12	BUENA VISTA TERMITE; ROBERT GONZALEZ, RALPH M. ALANIS	
13	9583 E. Slauson Ave. Pico Rivera, CA 90660	ACCUSATION
14 15	Company Registration Certificate No. PR 6362, Branch 3	
16	AND	
17	RALPH M ALANIS	
18	3218 N. Adelia Avenue	
19	El Monte, CA 91733	
20	Operator's License No. OPR 10667	
21	AND	
22	FRANK RYAN WYKOFKA	
23	458 W. Arrow Highway, Suite A San Dimas, CA 91773	
24	,	
	Operator's License No. OPR 11710	
25	Respondents.	
26		<u>.</u>
27	111	
28	111	
		1

IN THE MATTER OF THE ACCUSATION AGAINST BUENA VISTA TERMITE; ROBERT GONZALEZ, RALPH M. ALANIS; RALPH M. ALANIS; AND FRANK RYAN WYKOFKA

3 4

5

7

8

6

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

III

III

PARTIES

1. Susan Saylor (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).

Buena Vista Termite/Robert Gonzalez

2. On or about August 18, 2011, the Structural Pest Control Board issued Company Registration Certificate Number PR 6362, Branch 3 to Buena Vista Termite; Robert Gonzalez (President), Ralph M. Alanis (Qualifying Manager and Vice President). On September 24, 2014, said certificate was suspended for failure to maintain a surety bond. On March 2, 2015, said certificate was suspended for no Qualifying Manager. On January 12, 2016, said certificate was suspended for failure to maintain general liability insurance.

Ralph M. Alanis

3. On or about December 17, 2002, the Structural Pest Control Board issued Operator's License No. OPR 10667, inactive in Branch 3, to Ralph M. Alanis. On August 18, 2011, said licensee became the Vice President, 30% shareholder, and Qualifying Manager of Buena Vista Termite. On February 17, 2015, said licensee disassociated as Qualifying Manager of Buena Vista Termite. Said license is currently in effect and renewed through June 30, 2017.

Frank Ryan Wykofka

- 4. On or about May 21, 2008, the Structural Pest Control Board issued Operator's License No. OPR 11710, Branch 3, to Frank Ryan Wykofka (as an employee of Gaston Termite Control Inc.). On March 11, 2015, said license was placed on inactive status. The Operator's license is currently in effect and will expire on June 30, 2016, unless renewed.
- 5. On or about May 29, 2008, the Structural Pest Control Board issued Field Representative's License No. FR 43171, Branch 2, to Frank Ryan Wykofka (as an employee of Gaston Termite Control Inc.). Said license was cancelled on June 30, 2013.

- 6. On or about September 19, 2000, the Structural Pest Control Board issued Field Representative's License No. FR 32743, Branch 3, to Frank Ryan Wykofka (as an employee of Gaston Termite Control Inc.). Said license was cancelled on May 21, 2008.
- 7. On or about January 14, 1998, the Structural Pest Control Board issued Applicator's License No. RA 8597, Branches 2 and 3, to Frank Ryan Wykofka (as an employee of Gaston Termite Control Inc.). Said license was cancelled on January 14, 2004.

JURISDICTION AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 8. This Accusation is brought before the Structural Pest Control Board (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 9. Section 8620 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a license when it finds that the holder, while a licensee or applicant, has committed any acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action or in lieu of a suspension may assess a civil penalty.

10. Section 8624 of the Code states:

"If the board suspends or revokes an operator's license and one or more branch offices are registered under the name of the operator, the suspension or revocation may be applied to each branch office.

If the operator is the qualifying manager, a partner, responsible officer, or owner of a registered structural pest control company, the suspension or revocation may be applied to the company registration.

The performance by any partnership, corporation, firm, association, or registered company of any act or omission constituting a cause for disciplinary action, likewise constitutes a cause for disciplinary action against any licensee who, at the time the act or omission occurred, was the qualifying manager, a partner, responsible officer, or owner of the partnership, corporation, firm, association, or registered company whether or not he or she had knowledge of, or participated in, the prohibited act or omission."

11. Section 8625 of the Code states:

"The lapsing or suspension of a license or company registration by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license or company registration shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against such licensee or company, or to render a decision suspending or revoking such license or registration."

///

7

1112

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

12. Section 8652 of the Code states:

"Failure of a registered company to make and keep all inspection reports, field notes, contracts, documents, notices of work completed, and records, other than financial records, for a period of not less than three years after completion of any work or operation for the control of structural pests or organisms, is a ground for disciplinary action. These records shall be made available to the executive officer of the board or his or her duly authorized representative during business hours."

13. Section 8516 of the Code states in pertinent part:

- "(a) This section, and Section 8519, apply only to wood destroying pests or organisms.
- (b) No registered company or licensee shall commence work on a contract, or sign, issue, or deliver any documents expressing an opinion or statement relating to the absence or presence of wood destroying pests or organisms until an inspection has been made by a licensed Branch 3 field representative or operator. The address of each property inspected or upon which work is completed shall be reported on a form prescribed by the board and shall be filed with the board no later than 10 business days after the commencement of an inspection or upon completed work.

Every property inspected pursuant to subdivision or Section 8518 shall be assessed a filing fee pursuant to Section 8674.

Failure of a registered company to report and file with the board the address of any property inspected or work completed pursuant to Section 8518 or this section is grounds for disciplinary action and shall subject the registered company to a fine of not more than two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500).

A written inspection report conforming to this section and on a form approved by the board shall be prepared and delivered to the person requesting the inspection or to the person's designated agent within 10 business days of the inspection, except that an inspection report prepared for use by an attorney for litigation purposes is not required to be reported to the board. The report shall be delivered before work is commenced on any property. The registered company shall retain for three years all original inspection reports, field notes, and activity forms.

Reports shall be made available for inspection and reproduction to the executive officer of the board or his or her duly authorized representative during business hours. Original inspection reports or copies thereof shall be submitted to the board upon request within two business days. The following shall be set forth in the report:

- (1) The date of the inspection and the name of the licensed field representative or operator making the inspection.
 - (2) The name and address of the person or firm ordering the report.
 - (3) The name and address of any person who is a party in interest.
 - (4) The address or location of the property.
 - (5) A general description of the building or premises inspected.

an original report to determine if the recommendations have been completed. Each reinspection shall be reported on an original inspection report form and shall be labeled "Reinspection" in capital letters by rubber stamp or typewritten. Each reinspection shall also identify the original report by date.

After four months from an original inspection, all inspections shall be original inspections and not reinspections.

Any reinspection shall be performed for not more than the price of the registered company's original inspection price and shall be completed within 10 working days after a reinspection has been ordered."

14. Section 8518 of the Code states:

- "(a) When a registered company completes work under a contract, it shall prepare, on a form prescribed by the board, a notice of work completed and not completed, and shall furnish that notice to the owner of the property or the owner's agent within 10 business days after completing the work. The notice shall include a statement of the cost of the completed work and estimated cost of work not completed.
- (b) The address of each property inspected or upon which work was completed shall be reported on a form prescribed by the board and shall be filed with the board no later than 10 business days after completed work.
- (c) A filing fee shall be assessed pursuant to Section 8674 for every property upon which work is completed.
- (d) Failure of a registered company to report and file with the board the address of any property upon which work was completed pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 8516 or Section 8518 is grounds for disciplinary action and shall subject the registered company to a fine of not more than two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500).
- (e) The registered company shall retain for three years all original notices of work completed, work not completed, and activity forms.
- (f) Notices of work completed and not completed shall be made available for inspection and reproduction to the executive officer of the board or his or her duly authorized representative during business hours. Original notices of work completed or not completed or copies thereof shall be submitted to the board upon request within two business days."

15. Section 8639 of the Code states:

"Aiding or abetting an unlicensed individual or unregistered company to evade the provisions of this chapter [the Structural Pest Control Act] or knowingly combining or conspiring with an unlicensed individual or unregistered company, or allowing one's license or company registration to be used by an unlicensed individual or unregistered company, or acting as agent or partner or associate, or otherwise, of an unlicensed individual or unregistered company to evade the provisions of this chapter is a ground for disciplinary action."

28

16. Section 8642 of the Code states that "[t]he commission of any grossly negligent or fraudulent act by the licensee as a pest control operator, field representative, or applicator or by a registered company is a ground for disciplinary action."

17. Section 8651 of the Code states:

"The performing or soliciting of structural pest control work, the inspecting for structural or household pests, or the applying of any pesticide for the purpose of eliminating, exterminating, controlling, or preventing structural or household pests in branches of pest control other than those for which the operator, field representative, or applicator is licensed or the company is registered is a ground for disciplinary action."

18. Section 8610 of the Code provides, in pertinent part:

"(a) Every company that engages in the practice of structural pest control, as a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, or other organization or any combination thereof, shall be registered with the Structural Pest Control Board. Each application for a company registration shall include the name of the company's owner if it is a sole proprietorship, the names of the partners, if it is a partnership, or the names of its officers and shareholders with 10 percent or more ownership interest, if it is a corporation, and the address of the company's principal office in this state."

19. Section 8552 of the Code states:

"It is unlawful for any person to advertise or represent in any manner that any pest control work, in whole or in part, has been done upon any structure, unless the work has been performed by a company registered under this chapter."

20. Section 8505.17 of the Code provides, in pertinent part:

"(c) Registered structural pest control companies shall prepare and submit to the county agricultural commissioner a monthly report of all pesticides used in that county. The report shall be on a form approved by the Director of Pesticide Regulation and shall contain the name and registration number of each pesticide and the amount used. The report shall be submitted to the commissioner by the 10th day of the month following the month of application and a copy shall be maintained by the licensee for a period of three years after the report submission date. Each pesticide use report or combination of use reports representing a registered structural pest control company's total county pesticide use for the month shall require a pesticide use stamp or stamp number issued by the board in the denomination fixed by the board in accordance with Section 8674 as the pesticide use report filing fee. The board shall provide for the sale of these stamps and stamp numbers and for the refund of moneys paid for stamps and stamp numbers which are returned to it unused. When a registered structural pest control company performs no pest control during a month in a county in which it has given notice pursuant to Section 15204 of the Food and Agricultural Code, the registered company shall submit a use report stating this fact to the commissioner. A pesticide use stamp or stamp number is not required on negative use reports."

·16

instruct company employees, as needed to secure full compliance with all laws and regulations governing structural pest control.

In cases of ownership of more than one registered company by the same sole owner, corporation or partnership where the qualifying manager or managers cannot supervise each registered company because of the location of the companies, the qualifying manager or managers may designate an individual or individuals licensed as an operator or as a field representative in the branch or branches of business being conducted to supervise the company. This designated supervisor or supervisors must be under the direct supervision of the qualifying manager or managers. Any such designation of supervisors does not relieve the qualifying manager or managers of responsibility to supervise as required in sections 8506.2 and 8610."

25. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1970, provides, in pertinent part:

"For the purpose of maintaining proper standards of safety and the establishment of responsibility in handling the dangerous gases used in fumigation and the pesticides used in other pest control operations, a registered company shall compile and retain for a period of at least three years, a log for each fumigation job and for each pesticide control operation in which a pesticide is used by the registered company or the registered company's employee. If the fumigation is to be performed by a fumigation subcontractor, the subcontractor shall complete the fumigation log and forward a copy of the log to the primary contractor within ten business days."

COST RECOVERY

26. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 27. On July 1, 2014, Respondent Buena Vista Termite's \$4,000.00 bond was cancelled for lack of payment. Effective September 24, 2014, Buena Vista Termite was placed on suspension for failing to post a \$12,500 bond¹.
- 28. On February 17, 2015, the Board received written notice from Respondent Alanis requesting that he be removed as Qualifying Manager of Buena Vista Termite. On February 17,

¹ The suspension was mistakenly lifted on September 26, 2014, but was reinstated May 8, 2015.

2015, Respondent Alanis was removed as the Qualifying Manager of Buena Vista Termite (Respondent Alanis remained a corporate officer of the company) and Buena Vista Termite was suspended due to the loss of a Qualifying Manager. On March 2, 2015, the Board issued a cease all work order for not having a qualifying manager as of February 17, 2015.

- 29. On March 11, 2015, Respondent Wykofka's license was placed on inactive status because it was not associated with a registered company. On April 2, 2015, the Board received a request from Respondent Gonzalez, seeking to change the Qualifying Manager of Buena Vista Termite to Respondent Wykofka. The request could not be processed due to a Franchise Tax Board suspension and inconsistencies in the corporate documents.
- 30. On April 23, 2015, Respondent Alanis notified the Board in writing that he would like to have his name removed as an officer of Buena Vista Termite. His name was not removed as an officer because Buena Vista Termite failed to re-register the company. On May 11, 2015, Respondent Gonzalez emailed a copy of the \$12,500 bond to the Board. The bond submission was rejected by the Board.
- 31. During the period of license suspension, Buena Vista Termite continued to perform Wood Destroying Organism (WDO) activities. Respondents failed to report all of the WDO activities to the Board and failed to sign and report 4 completion notices. Respondents also failed to submit to the county agricultural commissioner a report of all pesticides for the months of February 2015, March 2015, April 2015, May 2015, June 2015. On June 8, 2015, a Board inspector traveled to Buena Vista Termite to discuss the violations with Respondents. During the office inspection, the inspector discovered that Respondents failed to maintain records consistent with the Code.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Submit Monthly Report of Pesticides Used)

(As to Respondents Buena Vista Termite and Robert Gonzalez)

32. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 8505.17, subdivision (c) of the Code in that from February 2015, through June 2015, Respondents failed to submit monthly reports of all pesticide use to the county agricultural commissioner. The conduct is

1	described in more particularity in paragraphs 27-31 above, inclusive and hereby incorporated by
2	reference.
3	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
4	(Failure to Report WDO Activities)
5	(As to Respondents Buena Vista Termite and Robert Gonzalez)
6	33. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 8516, subdivision (b) and
7	section 8518 of the Code in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section
8	1996.3 in that Respondents failed to report inspection and completion WDO activities to the
9	Board. The conduct is described in more particularity in paragraphs 27-31 above, inclusive and
10	hereby incorporated by reference.
11	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Failure to Update/Change Company Registration with the Board)
13	(As to Respondents Buena Vista Termite and Robert Gonzalez)
14	34. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 8610, subdivision (a) of
15	the Code in that they failed to update/change the company registration with the Board. The
16	conduct is described in more particularity in paragraphs 27-31 above, inclusive and hereby
17	incorporated by reference.
18	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
19	(Failure to Keep and Maintain Records)
20	(As to Respondents Buena Vista Termite and Robert Gonzalez)
21	35. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 8618 and section 8652 of
22	the Code, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations title 16, section 1970 in that they
23	failed to keep and maintain all records regarding structural pest control activities performed in the
24	past three years and failed to make them available to the executive officer of the Board (or her
25	duly authorized representative) during business hours. The conduct is described in more
26	particularity in paragraphs 27-31 above, inclusive and hereby incorporated by reference.
27	///
28	111

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

111

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Aiding/Abetting or Knowing Combining or Conspiring with Unlicensed Individuals) (As to Respondents Buena Vista Termite and Robert Gonzalez)

36. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 8639 of the Code in that they aided or abetted unlicensed individuals to evade the provisions of this chapter or knowingly combining or conspiring with an unlicensed individual. The conduct is described in more particularity in paragraphs 27-31 above, inclusive and hereby incorporated by reference.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence or Fraudulent Act)

(As to Respondents Buena Vista Termite and Robert Gonzalez)

- 37. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 8642 of the Code in that they committed fraudulent acts when they continued WDO activities, including inspection and completion reports, while on suspension. The conduct is described in more particularity in paragraphs 27-31 above, inclusive and hereby incorporated by reference.
- 38. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 8642 of the Code in that they were grossly negligent when they continued to issue inspection and completion reports, use pesticides, report pesticide use for some projects, and fail to report pesticide use for other projects, while on suspension. The conduct is described in more particularity in paragraphs 27-31 above, inclusive and hereby incorporated by reference.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unlicensed Structural Pest Control Work)

(As to Respondents Buena Vista Termite and Robert Gonzalez)

39. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 8651 of the Code in that they performed or solicited structural pest control work in branches of pest control other than those for which the operator, field representative, or applicator is licensed, or the company is registered. The conduct is described in more particularity in paragraphs 27-31 above, inclusive and hereby incorporated by reference.

1	FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
2	(Gross Negligence or Fraudulent Act)	
3	(As to Respondent Frank Ryan Wykofka)	
4	48. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 8642 of the Code in that he	
5	committed grossly negligent or fraudulent acts when he performed WDO activities while his	
6	license was inactive and the company was suspended, failed to report WDO activities to the	
7	Board. The conduct is described in more particularity in paragraphs 27-31 above, inclusive and	
8	hereby incorporated by reference.	
9	SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
10	(Unlicensed Structural Pest Control Work)	
11	(As to Respondent Frank Ryan Wykofka)	
12	49. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 8651 of the Code in that	
13	Respondent's performed or solicited structural pest control work in branches of pest control other	
14	than those for which the operator, field representative, or applicator is licensed, or the company is	
15	registered. The conduct is described in more particularity in paragraphs 27-31 above, inclusive	
16-	and hereby incorporated by reference.	
17	///	
18	///	
19	1//	
20		
21	1//	
22	111	
23	1//	
24		
25		
26		
27	1//	
28		
	15	

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Structural Pest Control Board issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Company Registration Certificate Number PR 6362, Branch
 issued to Buena Vista Termite; Robert Gonzalez, Ralph M. Alanis;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Operator's License No. OPR 10667, Branch 3, issued to Ralph M. Alanis;
- 3. Revoking or suspending Operator's License No. OPR 11710, Branch 3, issued to Frank Ryan Wykofka;
- 4. Prohibiting Respondents from serving as officers, directors, associates, partners, qualifying managers, or responsible managing employees of any registered company during the period that discipline is imposed on the licenses/certificates listed in paragraphs 1-3 (above);
- 5. Ordering Respondents to pay the Structural Pest Control Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and
 - 6. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 4 6 16

SUSAN SAYLOR

Registrar/Executive Officer Structural Pest Control Board Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

LA2016600651 52028320.doc